

MS Society Grant Round 2021

Applicant guidance

This guidance document should be used in conjunction with the "help" section on our online application system CC Grant Tracker.

March 2021

Let's stop MS together

# Contents

1.	Introduction	3
2.	Patient and public involvement (PPI)	5
3.	Key Documents	7
4.	Key Terms and Definitions	8
5.	The Review Process	11
6	Types of Award and Eligibility	12
7.	Criteria for review	17
8.	Completing your application form	18
9.	Resubmission Policy	23
10	. Finance and Costs	24
11	. Co-funding applications	29
12	. Use of animals	29
13	. Use of human tissue	30
14	. References	30
15	. Contact us	30
Ар	pendix 1: Writing an excellent lay summary	31
	pendix 2: Tips for writing a great MS Society Research grant plication	33
Ap	pendix 3: Scoring Guidance for reviewers	35

MS Society 2 of 36

#### 1. Introduction

As the largest charitable funder of MS research in the UK, we welcome applications for projects that will increase understanding of the cause and find new effective treatments for MS, as well as improve care and services for people affected by MS.

#### **Special Announcement for our 2021 Grant Round:**

We are pleased to offer an open Grant Round in 2021. We know it's a challenging time for everyone so we have broadened eligibility of some awards and extended our usual application deadlines to be as flexible as possible. Because of the disruption in charitable income caused by the pandemic, our budget is smaller than previous years. But we're pleased to have at least £1 million for new awards this year, to support the MS research community and offer hope to people affected by MS through funding the highest quality, most relevant MS research.

We know there are many research questions related to COVID-19 and MS that are important to answer. However for our 2021 Grant Round we are prioritising funding for research projects relevant to our longer term strategic aims set out in our 5 year Research Strategy 2018-2022. Including a COVID-19 element in your proposed research will not make the project out of scope, but you will need to clearly demonstrate how the project fits with our strategy and the priorities of people affected by MS.

We're keen to support as many MS researchers as possible so value for money will be especially important this year. A priority for us is retaining talented researchers in MS, so we've broadened the eligibility for our postdoctoral level fellowships so early career researchers from all areas of MS research can apply.

All decisions on scientific quality and relevance are made by independent peer and lay reviewers and not the charity's administrative staff.

This guidance document should be used in conjunction with the "Help" sections in the application forms on our online application system CC Grant Tracker: <a href="https://research.mssociety.org.uk/">https://research.mssociety.org.uk/</a>. Whilst every effort is made to ensure that information in this guidance is accurate, changes are possible and the most up-to-date version of the guidance will be available on our website.

# If you have any questions, please email us at <a href="mailto:research@mssociety.org.uk">research@mssociety.org.uk</a> or call us on 020 8438 0822.

#### 1.1 Funding Rounds and Remit

Review of applications is managed through 3 funding rounds based on the type of funding, briefly described below. We have made some changes to our review processes for our open Grant Rounds, including that all funding recommendations will now come from review panels drawn from The Expert Review Network (TERN), our independent group of experienced researchers, health care professionals and people living with MS. Further details on our updated review processes are available on our website. Importantly though we still offer similar award types as in previous years. More details on Award types can be found in section 6: Types of Award and Eligibility.

#### 1.2 Catalyst Awards funding round

Catalyst Awards aim to provide support for a short-term, small-scale pilot or proof of concept research project. Previously these were called Innovative Awards in past Grant

MS Society 3 of 36

Rounds. These awards are 12 months in duration and have an upper funding limit of £50,000.00.

Starting in 2021, Catalyst Awards will be assessed more efficiently with a new streamlined process, reflective of the nature of applications that are received.

#### 1.3 Project Awards funding round

Project Awards provide support for research projects designed to answer a single question or a small group of related questions. Such questions must be directed towards our Research Strategy. Applications should be hypothesis driven.

These awards have a maximum duration of 36 months.

#### 1.4 Career Development Awards funding round

Career Development Awards will comprise of PhD Fellowships and Early Career Fellowships (the latter previously called Junior Fellowships in past Grant Rounds). These awards are designed to encourage the best graduates to embark on a research career in MS – in the case of PhD Fellowships, whilst Early Career Fellowships are aimed at attracting and retaining talented scientists, early in their career in MS research.

PhD Fellowships have a duration of up to 36 months and Early Career Fellowships a maximum of 48 months.

#### 1.5 MS Society Research Strategy

All applications should fit with the MS Society's Research Strategy. Applicants are required to explain how their project fulfils the aims of our Research Strategy. As such, we recommend that all applicants read and strongly consider this document when writing their application.

Our Research Strategy can be found here: <a href="www.mssociety.org.uk/mss-research-strategy">www.mssociety.org.uk/mss-research-strategy</a>.

#### 1.6 MS Society Organisational Strategy

The MS Society has an organisational strategy to guide our work from 2020-2024. We recommend that all applicants also read and strongly consider this document when writing their application.

Our organisational Strategy can be found here:

https://www.mssociety.org.uk/what-we-do/our-work/our-strategy.

#### 1.7 James Lind Alliance Partnership (JLA) research priorities

In 2013 the MS Society completed a James Lind Alliance Partnership (JLA) to identify the research priorities for MS that are important to people affected by MS and healthcare professionals. You can read more about this process on our website: https://www.mssociety.org.uk/research/explore-our-research/our-research-priorities.

We do not intend to exclusively restrict our funding to these priorities, and will continue to support research into the causes of MS, and all aspects of treatment and care for people affected by MS. However, applications that specifically address these priorities will be considered more favourably. You will be required to outline which, if any, of the JLA priorities your application addresses.

MS Society 4 of 36

### 2. Patient and public involvement (PPI)

Patient and public involvement (PPI) in research is when people with personal experience of health conditions work in active partnership with researchers or research funders such as the MS Society. We believe that involving people affected by MS in the design and review of research studies strengthens the quality and relevance of MS research.

Involvement is distinct from being a participant in a trial or study, and from public engagement. Involvement is about influencing the design and oversight of a project.

Before applying, we encourage applicants to consider involving people affected by MS in the development of proposals. This helps to:

- Ensure your research questions are relevant to their experiences
- Improve the clarity of your lay summary
- Ensure your study design is patient-friendly way and acceptable to participants with MS

Please see the Application Development section on the next page for details on how we can support researchers with this for Project and Career Development Awards.

We ask applicants to outline in their research proposal how they will involve people affected by MS, should their application be awarded funding. For example, people affected by MS could:

- Join an advisory group to provide their perspective throughout the course of a project - advising on challenges such as low recruitment.
- Review participant information materials to be used in your research (leaflets, posters, webpages, questionnaires)
- Advise on the best ways to communicate and disseminate your findings

You may find the following resources useful to plan effective patient and public involvement:

- INVOLVE Briefing notes for researchers: <a href="http://www.invo.org.uk/posttypepublication/involve-briefing-notes-for-researchers/">http://www.invo.org.uk/posttypepublication/involve-briefing-notes-for-researchers/</a>
- The NIHR Research Design Service patient and public involvement in Health and Social Care Research handbook: <a href="http://www.rds-london.nihr.ac.uk/RDSLondon/media/RDSContent/files/PDFs/RDS\_PPI-Handbook\_web\_1.pdf">http://www.rds-london.nihr.ac.uk/RDSLondon/media/RDSContent/files/PDFs/RDS\_PPI-Handbook\_web\_1.pdf</a>
- INVOLVE guidance on 'Budgeting for involvement': http://www.invo.org.uk/posttypepublication/budgeting-for-involvement/.
- Access to Understanding `Writing about biomedical and health research in plain English': <a href="http://www.access2understanding.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/11/Access-to-Understanding-writing-guidance-v1.pdf">http://www.access2understanding.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/11/Access-to-Understanding-writing-guidance-v1.pdf</a>

Expenses for involvement should be included in the budget.

It is important to write a clear lay summary because people affected by MS are involved in the MS Society's review process. If your lay summary is too brief or too complex, it will be difficult for lay reviewers to comment and score your application.

Please see Appendix 1: Writing an excellent lay summary for guidance on writing a lay summary.

MS Society 5 of 36

#### **Application development**

This is an opportunity for Career Development and Project Award applicants (as Catalyst Awards are ineligible in 2021) to receive informal comments from people affected by MS before submitting their application. This does not form part of our review process, but is intended to help applicants to improve their applications by taking into account the views of people affected by MS.

Involving people affected by MS before submitting your application:

- Ensures your proposed questions are relevant to their experiences
- Improves the clarity of your lay summary
- Ensures your study design is acceptable to participants with MS

Should you wish to take advantage of this opportunity, you will need to submit a completed Application Development request form to researchnetwork@mssociety.org.uk.

# Completed Application Development request forms are due by 12 noon Monday 12th April 2021.

Guidance notes and a request form are available on our website: <a href="https://www.mssociety.org.uk/research/for-researchers/funding.">https://www.mssociety.org.uk/research/for-researchers/funding.</a>

#### You will receive feedback by Thursday 13th May 2021.

Please see Appendix 1: Writing an excellent lay summary for guidance on writing a lay summary.

For all enquiries about involving people affected by MS in any stage of your research, please contact the Public Involvement Manager:

researchnetwork@mssociety.org.uk

MS Society 6 of 36

# 3. Key Documents

Please ensure that you consult the following documents before submitting any application to the MS Society:

Award terms and conditions	The MS Society's grant award conditions can be found here: https://www.mssociety.org.uk/sites/default/files/2021- 02/MS%20Society%20Award%20Conditions%20and%20Policies%2020 20.pdf. This document contains our:  - Open Access Policy: We are committed to open and unrestricted access to the results of MS Society funded research. The policy requires authors of research papers based on MS Society funded research to publish in an open access environment.  - Grant Award Conditions: These Award Conditions, together with the Award Letter and the Policies, set out the terms and conditions on which the Award is made by the Society to the Recipient.  - Intellectual Property Policy: This Intellectual Property (IP) Policy document sets out principles that the Society will be guided by when considering questions that arise in relation to intellectual property and research matters.	
Research Review Panel: Conflicts of Interest	This document outlines the Conflicts of Interest guidance for our Review panels: <a href="https://www.mssociety.org.uk/GRP-Conflicts-of-Interest">www.mssociety.org.uk/GRP-Conflicts-of-Interest</a>	
The Expert Review Network (TERN): Terms of Reference	More information and Terms of Reference our members of TERN can be found here: <a href="https://www.mssociety.org.uk/research/researchers/funding/expert-review-network-tern">https://www.mssociety.org.uk/research/researchers/funding/expert-review-network-tern</a>	
Our 2018 – 2022 Research Strategy	This document outlines our Research Strategy 2018 – 2022: www.mssociety.org.uk/mss-research-strategy	

MS Society 7 of 36

# 4. Key Terms and Definitions

This is a list of key terms and definitions used in this applicant guidance document

Term	Definition		
3Rs	The principles of the 3Rs (Replacement, Reduction and Refinement) were developed over 50 years ago as a framework for humane animal research. Find out more information here: <a href="http://www.nc3rs.org.uk/">http://www.nc3rs.org.uk/</a>		
AMRC	Association of Medical Research Charities. We are a member of the AMRC and our funding process complies with their regulations and guidance.		
Advisor	<ul> <li>Advisors can be added by the lead applicant. This allows the advisor to view the application, edit the application and provide comments.</li> <li>Advisors do not need to be actively involved in the project.</li> <li>Advisors differ from lead and co-applicants as they are not listed on the application. The names of advisors will not be visible to grant reviewers.</li> <li>Advisors do not need to sign off the application before it is submitted.</li> </ul>		
Co-applicant	<ul> <li>A co-applicant is a researcher who will be actively involved in the project should the funding application be successful.</li> <li>Co-applicants can view and edit applications.</li> <li>Co-applicants are required to approve applications on our online system CC Grant Tracker before it can be submitted by the lead applicant.</li> <li>On Early Career Fellowship applications co-applicants are co-supervisors</li> </ul>		
Finance Officer	A member of the host institution who is responsible for confirming and approving financial details of the applications. The finance officer will be responsible for administering the grant if it is awarded.		
The Expert Review Network (TERN)	A group of experts brought together to contribute to the funding of high-quality research.  Members of TERN may be selected as Reviewers/Panel Members for the MS Society Grant Round(s) if found to have relevant expertise to applications received.		

MS Society 8 of 36


CC Grant Tracker	Grant Tracker is the online grants system where you must submit your application. You can access it via the following link: <a href="https://research.mssociety.org.uk/">https://research.mssociety.org.uk/</a>		
Indirect costs	<ul> <li>Indirect costs are non-specific costs charged across all projects.</li> <li>These costs are necessary for underpinning research but cannot be allocated to individual projects. These costs account for things such as computing and information support, central services, general maintenance and other infrastructure costs and will be calculated separately by each Higher Education Institution (HEI), according to TRAC methodology.</li> <li>Note the MS Society will not cover any indirect costs, in line with AMRC guidance. Examples of indirect costs are listed in the 'Finance and Costs' section of this document.</li> </ul>		
Lead Applicant	<ul> <li>The named lead applicant will be considered to be the project leader.</li> <li>In addition, the lead applicant will: <ul> <li>Be the contact person for all correspondence relating to the application.</li> <li>Must accept overall responsibility for the award, if granted, and must be actively engaged in its direction.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		
Public Engagement	Where information and knowledge about research is provided and disseminated. Examples of engagement are science festivals open to the public, open days at a research centre, raising awareness in the media. To find out more about engagement, see <a href="http://www.publicengagement.ac.uk/">http://www.publicengagement.ac.uk/</a>		
Public Involvement (PPI)	Where members of the public are actively involved in research projects and in research organisations. Examples of involvement would be where lay people are involved in identifying research priorities, are members of a project steering group, or comment on patient information materials. To find out more about involvement, see <a href="https://www.invo.org.uk">www.invo.org.uk</a>		
Public Participation	Where people take part in a research study. Examples of participation include people being recruited to a clinical trial or other study, or completing a questionnaire as part of a study. To find out more about participation in trials, see <a href="https://www.nhs.uk/conditions/clinical-trials/">https://www.nhs.uk/conditions/clinical-trials/</a>		

MS Society 9 of 36

Supervisor (for Early Career Fellowships)	Supervisors must be actively involved in the Fellowship and are responsible for the ongoing support of the fellows. Supervisors are required to approve an application before it can be submitted.
Research Network	The Research Network are a passionate group of individuals living with MS or caring for someone with MS. They are not required to have specialist scientific knowledge, but are trained to use their personal experiences of MS to review applications, ensuring that the research we fund is of the highest importance to people with MS.
	Triage is a stage in the MS Society review process that takes place after applications have been peer and lay reviewed. This involves a sub-group of the grant review panel, including the Chair, Vice Chair and people affected by MS, identifying applications that have received low scores for quality of science and relevance at the peer and lay review stages.
Triage	Following consultation with the Panel and the MS Society, these applications are removed from the process prior to the Panel meeting.
	Triage allows for quicker communication of decisions to unsuccessful applicants as well as making the process more efficient as a whole.

MS Society 10 of 36

#### The Review Process



MS Society 11 of 36

# 6 Types of Award and Eligibility

### 6.1 PhD Fellowship

Purpose	PhD Fellowships are designed to encourage the best science graduates to embark on a research career in MS.	
Eligibility	<ul> <li>Project must be based at a UK university or NHS institution</li> <li>Must be relevant to MS</li> <li>Must fit with our Research Strategy</li> <li>Student must have minimum of upper second class honours degree or equivalent</li> </ul>	
Funding amount See PhD finance section		
Maximum duration	Up to 36 months	
PhD specific review criteria	All applications are scored on relevance and quality of science by both scientific and lay reviewers. Please see 'Criteria for review' section for further details.  For PhD Fellowship applications, the research environment and the quality of the training provided will also be key considerations.  - The level and quality of the supervisor and the research environment  - Training provided and the training environment	
Other notes	PhD Fellowships are awarded to the prospective supervisor of the project.  The supervisor is responsible for identifying a suitable candidate. It is not necessary to name a candidate at the time of application (if this is the case, list the name as "TBC").  Start dates for PhD Fellowship awards are flexible to allow for student recruitment to coincide with the beginning of the academic year.  Application Deadline: 12 noon, Tuesday 15 <sup>th</sup> June.  In September, applicants will be offered 10 days to respond to external reviewer comments and informed of shortlisting outcome soon after.  Outcomes will be communicated after the panel review meeting in early December, with an earliest award start date of 1 January 2022.	

MS Society 12 of 36

### 6.2 Catalyst Award

Purpose	Catalyst Awards provide support for a short-term, small-scale pilot or proof of concept research projects to explore innovative ideas. These may be "high-risk, high-reward" type projects and should be hypothesis driven. It is intended that these awards will encourage established and more junior researchers from both within and outside the MS field to explore innovative ideas in MS research that, if merited, may lead to further support through the Society or other funding sources.		
Eligibility	<ul> <li>Project must be based at a UK university or NHS institution</li> <li>Must be relevant to MS</li> <li>Must fit with our Research Strategy</li> </ul>		
Funding amount	Up to £50,000		
Maximum duration	Up to 12 months		
Catalyst Award specific review criteria  All applications are scored on relevance and quality of by both scientific and lay reviewers. Please see 'Crite' review' section for further details.			
Other notes	Please ensure that Catalyst Award Applications fit the purpose of the award listed above. There is no lower limit for our Project Grants so always consider if your application would be better placed there.  This year Catalyst Awards are ineligible for lay Application Development.  Application Deadline: 12 noon, Tuesday 24 <sup>th</sup> May. Note this is earlier than our other award deadlines.  Outcomes will be communicated after the panel review meeting in September, with an earliest award start date of 1 October 2021.		

MS Society 13 of 36

### 6.3 Project Grant

Purpose	To provide support for research projects designed to answer a single question or a small group of related questions. Such questions must be directed towards our Research Strategy. Applications should be hypothesis driven.		
Eligibility	<ul> <li>Project must be based at a UK university or NHS institution</li> <li>Must be relevant to MS</li> <li>Must fit with our Research Strategy</li> </ul>		
Funding amount	No lower or upper funding limit, however value for money will be one of the criteria to be considered.		
Maximum duration	Up to 36 months		
Project grant specific review criteria	All applications are scored on relevance and quality of science by both scientific and lay reviewers. Please see <u>`Criteria for review'</u> section for further details.		
Other notes	<ul> <li>Application Deadline: 12 noon, Tuesday 15<sup>th</sup> June.</li> <li>In September, applicants will be offered 10 days to respond to external reviewer comments and informed of shortlisting outcome soon after.</li> <li>Outcomes will be communicated after the panel review meeting in early December, with an earliest award start date of 1 January 2022.</li> </ul>		

MS Society 14 of 36

### 6.4 Early Career Fellowship

	Fords Consen Followskins and about 11 11 11 11 11	
Purpose	Early Career Fellowships are aimed at attracting and retaining talented post-doctoral researchers who want to build a successful career in MS research. These grants are intended to provide a staged career structure and provide an opportunity to progress towards higher level appointments.  Please note – for clinicians wanting to undertake a research PhD or conduct post-doctoral research alongside clinical service, we partner with UKRI, NIHR and the Association of British Neurologists to offer clinical fellowships. See below and our Funding page for further information.	
<ul> <li>Lead applicant must be the Early Career Fellowship can         <ul> <li>Applicant should have completed a PhD in a relevant so health discipline</li> <li>Project must be based at a UK university or NHS instituted</li> <li>Must be relevant to MS</li> <li>Must fit with our Research Strategy. Unlike in recent you Early Career Fellowships are now available to those control research across the spectrum of MS research, including biomedical research.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		
<b>Funding</b> Maximum of £250,000 in total. Value for money will be one		
amount the key criteria to be considered.  Maximum duration Up to 48 months		
Early Career Fellowship specific review criteria	All applications are scored on relevance and quality of science by both scientific and lay reviewers. Please see 'Criteria for review' section for further details.  For Early Career Fellowship applications, the following will also be taken into consideration:  - Quality of the candidate (including potential for future development and their ability to establish an independent research career and group)  - The level and quality of the supervisor and the research environment  - Training provided and the training environment	
Other	<ul> <li>A supervisor must be named on all ECF applications and must be actively involved in the project, with responsibility for the ongoing support of the fellows.</li> <li>Supervisors are required to sign-off the application.</li> <li>Application Deadline: 12 noon, Tuesday 15<sup>th</sup> June.</li> <li>In September applicants will be offered 10 days to respond to external reviewer comments and informed of shortlisting outcome soon after.</li> <li>Early Career Fellowship candidates whose applications pass through triage will be invited to an interview. Candidates will be expected to give a presentation on their work as well as answer questions from members of the panel.</li> <li>Outcomes will be communicated after the panel review meeting in early December, with an earliest award start date of 1 January 2022.</li> </ul>	

MS Society 15 of 36

#### 6.5 MS Society cofunded capacity building Fellowships

We partner with organisations to offer further fellowship opportunities to help build capacity in MS research and support the next generation of MS research leaders.

These fellowships are managed by our partners and all enquiries should be directed to them.

Clinical Research Training Fellowships:

https://mrc.ukri.org/skills-careers/fellowships/clinical-fellowships/clinical-research-training-fellowship-crtf/

The CRTF supports clinicians, including (but not limited to) medics, surgeons, dentists, clinical psychologists, public health specialty trainees, allied health professionals, nurses, midwives and veterinarians, to undertake a PhD or other higher research degree.

The scheme also provides a route for post-doctoral applicants who achieved their PhD some time ago but who have not been research active since due to clinical training commitments to reacquire research skills.

#### Career Development Awards:

https://mrc.ukri.org/skills-careers/fellowships/non-clinical-fellowships/career-development-award-cda-transition-to-independence/

The CDA supports talented post-doctoral researchers to lead their own research plans and establish their own research team to make the transition from post-doctoral researcher to independent investigator.

#### 6.6 NIHR Advanced Fellowship

https://www.nihr.ac.uk/our-research-community/NIHR-academy/nihr-training-programmes/fellowship-programme/nihr-advanced-fellowship.htm

The Advanced Fellowship is for those at a post-doctoral level and can be utilised at several specific points of a researcher's career development.

The fellowship is between 2 and 5 years and can be completed on a full-time or part-time basis - between 50% and 100% whole time equivalent (WTE).

Advanced Fellowships will be available with a 'clinical academic' option, whereby clinical applicants will have the option to request up to 40% of their time be dedicated to clinical service/development which would be covered by the award.

MS Society 16 of 36

#### 7. Criteria for review

All applications will be scored and judged on the quality of science and relevance to MS. Applications will be reviewed by both external peer and lay reviewers and by relevant funding Review Panels.

To assist our peer review process please suggest up to three (3) potential peer reviewers for your application, where indicated in the "Project Details" section of the online application form. You may also indicate reviewers you with us to exclude, with justification.

All applications will be reviewed and scored by members of our Research Network, therefore it is essential the lay sections of your application are well written and easy to understand for lay audiences.

Applications will be judged by the panel for their fit with our Research Strategy, JLA Priorities and our organisational strategy.

High scoring applications will:

- Address very important research questions; likely to result in significant benefit for people affected by MS or advancement in the scientific understanding of MS
- Potential impact is highly significant and appropriate to grant type, with a clear and realistic pathway to impact
- Very strong team, containing all relevant disciplines
- Excellent research design, appropriate to the grant type applied for
- Very good value for money
- Clear and well written proposal
- Active involvement (PPI) & influence of people affected by MS at all relevant stages of project

Tips on how to write a great grants application can be found in Appendix 2.

Scoring Guidance used by reviewers is found in Appendix 3.

MS Society 17 of 36

### 8. Completing your application form

All applications need to be submitted using our online grant application system, CC Grant Tracker, which is available at this address: <a href="https://research.mssociety.org.uk/">https://research.mssociety.org.uk/</a>.

If you require technical support, please use the following contacts:

#### Email: research@mssociety.org.uk Telephone: 020 8438 0822E

Support will only be available during office hours Monday to Friday, 09.00 to 17.00.

#### 8.1 Grant Tracker home page and starting your application

Once you've agreed to the terms and conditions, and registered with the online application portal, you will be asked to fill in the 'Basic Information' and 'CV' sections. This information will be stored in the database and added to any new applications you make.

You can update this information by selecting 'Manage my details' on your homepage. You can also change your password or contact email address here. An example of what your homepage will look like is provided in Fig1.



Figure 1 - Homepage

To start a new application, select the button on the homepage shown in Figure 1 or select 'New application' under 'My applications' in the left hand menu. You can also access past or on-going applications on this page.

MS Society 18 of 36

#### 8.2 The application form




Figure 2 – Application Form

MS Society 19 of 36

A. Application form sections and Help	<ul> <li>Choose "Edit" from the top right hand box to open the application form</li> <li>The sections of the application form are listed as a menu down the left-hand side of the screen. You must complete all of these sections.</li> <li>You can either complete your application in sequence or choose the section you want to complete from the left hand Navigation menu.</li> <li>All applicants should consult the help text for each part of the application, in addition to this applicant guidance.</li> <li>The help sections include details on what should be included on each page of the application, including mandatory information.</li> </ul>		
B. Save	Remember to save your work. You can save your work as many times as you wish until the application is submitted. This system will not automatically save your work.		
C. Validate	The validation checklist must be completed to submit the application. See <u>Submitting your application – from start to finish</u>		
D.Submit	When you have fully completed your application and no items remain on the validation checklist, the Submit button will become active. Pressing Submit will notify the Finance Officer to log in and Approve the application.		

MS Society 20 of 36

#### 8.3 Submitting your application – from start to finish

Application created by lead applicant on CC Grant Tracker

TIP: Avoid submission complications by having all named on the application confirm their involvement well before deadline day.

Lead applicant adds finance officer (mandatory), advisors and co-applicants

Automated email is sent to all parties to notify them

Signatories (incl. finance officer, co-applicants etc.) must log in and confirm involvement

Applicant finishes entering data into application form

Co-applicants must log in and approve the application

Lead applicant must select "save", "validate" then "submit" to submit the application

Finance Officer must log in and approve the application

Automated email is sent to Finance Officer

**Fully Submitted** 

Confirmation sent to lead applicant via email

MS Society 21 of 36

### 8.4 FAQs

How do I submit an application if I'm a new user?	<ul> <li>If you have never been involved with submitting an MS Society research application, you will need to register with our online system.</li> <li>To do this, select the "Register" button on the home screen. Please bear in mind the following:         <ul> <li>The email address that you register with will be used for all email correspondence.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Do I need to reregister if I've previously submitted an application?	- You will not need to re-register.
How do I add co- applicants, advisors and finance officers?	<ul> <li>Lead applicants (only) can add co-applicants, advisors and finance officers to the application form by searching for existing contacts already registered in the online system or by entering new contact details.</li> <li>Both new and existing contacts will be notified by email that they have been added to the application.</li> <li>You can monitor the status of these invitations on the application overview page.</li> <li>Please do not instruct co-applicants, advisors and finance officers to register with our online system independently via the home screen – they should await the automatic email that is sent when they have been added to the application by the lead applicant.</li> </ul>
What do I do if I've forgotten my password?	<ul> <li>If you forget your password, you click the Forgotten Password link on the Registration and Login Page, and ask for a replacement password to be sent to you by email.</li> <li>This replacement password gives temporary access to the system, during which time you will be asked to provide a new, permanent password.</li> </ul>
What do I do if I've been locked out of my account?	<ul> <li>Persistent use of an incorrect password will lock your account; this is to protect you from attempts to access your data by a third party. If this happens you can request a new password via the 'Forgotten password' function.</li> </ul>

MS Society 22 of 36

### 9. Resubmission Policy

Only **one** resubmission of any previously unsuccessful application will be accepted, unless you have been **explicitly invited** to resubmit. When resubmitting an application, applicants should select this within the "**Other Support**" section of the application form and complete the text box according to the above guidance.

#### Why?

Due to the amount of applications received each year we are introducing this policy to help reduce the time demand on all involved in our peer review process.

Over the past five years, 8% of resubmissions have been successful.

We expect all submitted applications to have been carefully considered and present a high level of grantsmanship that has been thoroughly reviewed by the applicant and their institution. Our hope is that this resubmission policy will add to this by promoting a culture of high-quality submissions and high-quality reviews that will benefit the applicant, the reviewers and the MS Society alike. In turn this will benefit the MS community as a whole by assisting in the selection and funding of high quality and relevant research that has an impact on people with MS.

What constitutes a resubmission?

A resubmission is any application that was previously submitted to the MS Society Grant Round. This includes and is not limited to:

- Applications submitted under a different name
- Applications submitted under a different stream (e.g. Changing a PhD Fellowship to an Innovative Award)

#### Exceptions

Where there is compelling evidence from peer review, we will invite a small number of further resubmissions where advised by the Grant Review Panels.

#### Preparing a resubmission:

When preparing a resubmission the following must be included in the "Other Support" section, in the text box marked "How does this application differ from the original?"

- Responses to any of the comments or considerations previously provided during the review process
- A summary of any substantial changes to the application

What constitutes sufficient change to qualify as a new submission?

 Only major changes will be sufficient to qualify as a new submission. Minor changes or only considering reviewer comments does not qualify as a new submission.

Consequences of an Uninvited Resubmission:

If a new application is deemed a resubmission, or if it is an uninvited resubmission that has already been submitted twice, then it will automatically be rejected.

MS Society 23 of 36

### 10. Finance and Costs

### 10.1 Acceptable/ unacceptable costs

Applicants should work with finance offices, clinical trials units, local NHS trusts and the local NIHR CRN network to ensure that all costs of research have been identified and appropriately paid for.

Please ensure that your application complies with the following financial criteria:

Please ensure that your application complies with the following financial criteria:			
CATEGORY	ACCEPTABLE COSTS	UNACCEPTABLE COSTS	NOTES
Staff members	who work specifically on the funded project.	- Salary for the lead applicant or coapplicant(s), with the exception of Early Career Fellowship applications. In exceptional circumstances, where funding is not already in place for the salary and an appropriate justification is made, a proportion of salary costs may be considered for Catalyst Awards only Salaries for project supervision It is the responsibility of the employing institution, not the MS Society, to provide for maternity benefit and increases in salary costs other than annual increments and cost of living awards Apprenticeship levy - Once the award has been made, the Society will not increase the Award to reflect increased research costs, including increased salaries due to nationally agreed pay awards/inflation.	<ul> <li>A copy of current salary scales should be provided by the finance department and attached in the 'Attachments' section at the end of your online application for all salaried staff.</li> <li>Gradings and salaries should have the approval of the administrative office of the Institution where staff is to be employed.</li> <li>An appropriate grading and salary must be quoted even where staff members cannot be named.</li> <li>Salaries must show the separate amounts claimed for basic pay, national insurance (showing % salary and total amount, at current rates), London weighting allowance where applicable and superannuation (which will not be higher than the rate used by the University Superannuation Scheme (USS) or NHS pension scheme)</li> </ul>

MS Society 24 of 36

CATEGORY	ACCEPTABLE COSTS	UNACCEPTABLE COSTS	NOTES
Consumables	chemicals and materials (e.g. reagents, isotopes,	<ul> <li>Article publishing or open access charges.</li> <li>Standard per unit IT charges.</li> <li>Phone/ computer accessories (drivers, cases, chargers, batteries).</li> </ul>	- For further guidance on PPI costs please visit: http://www.invo.org. uk/resource- centre/payment-and- recognition-for- public- involvement/involve ment-cost-calculator/ - A full justification is required for all consumables.
Equipment (cont.)		- Equipment cannot be requested for routine or service use Equipment becomes the responsibility of the host institution, which must be responsible for its accommodation, operating and servicing costs, and for any insurance that may be necessary.	<ul> <li>All equipment should be entered net of VAT.</li> <li>Any single piece of equipment costing in excess of £1000 and funded by the Award is the property of the Society.</li> <li>Equipment access costs: Awards cannot be used for Directly Allocated Costs, other than fees relating to the use of core equipment/ services/research facilities that are essential to the project. For such fees, the cost per sample/time period should be stated.</li> </ul>

MS Society 25 of 36

CATEGORY	ACCEPTABLE COSTS	UNACCEPTABLE COSTS	NOTES
Animals	-Animal purchase and transportation costs, maintenance (including food) and experimentation costs.	- Home Office Licences and animal handling training costs are not covered.	- The species and number of animals to be used must be stated. A full justification for their use must be provided in the project details section of the application See the 'Use of animals' section below.
Human Tissue	-Cost for the provision of human tissue.		- If human tissue from the MS Society Tissue Bank is to be used in the grant, please include an estimate of the costs according to the tariff found on the Tissue Bank website See the 'Use of human tissue section below.
Conferences	-Conference costs can cover a maximum of £1,000 per year for each member of salaried staff on the application, for each year the salary is supported by the grant Conference costs can be used to cover travel, registration fee and/or accommodation for meetings/ conferences.	- First class travel or accommodation	<ul> <li>Conference costs need to be split evenly across the three years e.g. money cannot be transferred from Year 1 to Year 2.</li> <li>Conferences costs are pro rata i.e. if a salaried member of staff is 50% part time, they can claim upto £500 of fees in one year.</li> <li>Only meetings/conferences directly related to the funded research.</li> </ul>
PhD Fellowship costs	PhD Stipends Outside London: Year 1: £15,609 Year 2: £15,999 Year 3: £16,399		- Stipends are in accordance with MRC guidelines, are tax-free and include a 2.5% inflationary increase per annum.

MS Society 26 of 36

CATEGORY	ACCEPTABLE COSTS	UNACCEPTABLE COSTS	NOTES
	Inside London: Year 1: £17,609 Year 2: £17,999 Year 3: £18,399  PhD tuition fees Maximum of £7,000 per year.  Running costs Maximum of £15,000 per year.  Running costs include costs in the following categories (only):	Running costs do not include tuition fees or Conference costs.	<ul> <li>Awarded grants will include the host institution's tuition fees at home student rates.</li> <li>The MS Society will not be responsible for any increase in fees once an award has been made, and it is recommended that applicants seek advice from their institutions when estimating the future level of fees for their application.</li> </ul>
Other	- The inclusion of funds for computer equipment or software is permissible only if	- Excess treatment costs Institutional overheads - Financial services - Personnel services - Recruitment costs - Public relations - General institution libraries - Departmental services (administration, secretarial, printing, photocopying) - Telephone charges and postage or courier services - Subscriptions to journals - Furniture - Annual software licencing fees	<ul> <li>Excess treatment costs should be funded through the NHS.</li> <li>Researchers applying for clinical research grants will need to complete a Schedule of Events Cost Attribution Template (SoECAT) in order to be eligible for the NIHR portfolio and the support this provides including ETCs.</li> <li>You will need to factor in the time to complete a SoECAT and have an AcoRD Specialist sign it so that you can upload and include it as part of your application submission.</li> </ul>

If you believe that any of the 'unacceptable costs' above should be seen as direct costs for your project you must provide full and detailed justification for this in your application.

MS Society 27 of 36

#### 10.2 Increase in costs

The Society will not be responsible for any increase in the costs of research (whether caused by increased consumables costs, increased personnel costs or otherwise) and the Society will not increase the award to reflect increased research costs, once the award has been made.

#### 10.3 Full economic costs

Unlike some other funding bodies, such as the research councils, AMRC member charities including the MS Society will not fund the full economic costs of research (fECs), or a proportion of these.

The MS Society will only pay for the Directly Incurred costs related specifically to the planned research to be undertaken in this grant.

Please see below for guidance on the types of costs. Your institution's finance team should be able to provide further guidance on costings. If you are unsure please get in touch with us. Including ineligible costs may delay application submission or approval of award.

Directly Incurred	These include the familiar direct costs of research such as: - Staff (e.g. research assistant salaries) - Travel and subsistence - Equipment
Directly Allocated (Previously called "in- direct costs)	These are shared costs, based on estimates and do not represent actual costs on a project-by-project basis.  Previously, these costs came under the 'indirect costs' heading but the following items will now be calculated separately:  -Applicants: the time spent by the applicant and co-applicants will be calculated and costed.  -Estates: the way these are calculated may vary between institutions. Different categories of space will be costed differently, for example laboratory space will be different to office-based costs.  -Other Directly Allocated: these include the costs of shared resources, such as staff and equipment.
Indirect Costs	These costs are necessary for underpinning research but cannot be allocated to individual projects. These costs account for things such as computing and information support, central services, general maintenance and other infrastructure costs and will be calculated separately by each Higher Education Institution (HEI), according to TRAC methodology. We will not cover Indirect Costs or any other non-attributable overhead costs in your budget. However, such costs may be eligible under the Charity Research Support Fund.

MS Society 28 of 36

### 11. Co-funding applications

The MS Society is open to co-funding applications with other funders. Applicants should provide full details of the co-funder and amount they would be contributing.

#### 12. Use of animals

All applicants intending to use animals in their research must complete this section.

Applicants should review the MS Society's policy on animal research: https://www.mssociety.org.uk/research/latest-research/animal-research.

As members of the AMRC we fully support their statement on animal research: <a href="http://www.amrc.org.uk/publications/statement-on-the-use-of-animals-in-research">http://www.amrc.org.uk/publications/statement-on-the-use-of-animals-in-research</a>.

The MS Society will not support the use of animals in research unless there is no alternative. Animal use procedures will be carefully considered in review of grant applications. Research using animals will be supported by the MS Society only when the criteria below are met.

All animal use falls within the regulations laid down by Government, including the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 and the Animal Welfare Act 2006. Institutions and applicants are responsible for making sure all relevant Home Office licences are held for the duration of the intended projects.

Any research conducted outside of the UK which uses animal must still meet the EU directive minimum standards. Please follow this link for the full Directive 2010/63/EU on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes.

#### 12.1 3R's

All applicants must address the 3R's (replacement, refinement and reduction of animals in research) in the project details section of the application. Further details on the 3R's can be found here: <a href="http://www.nc3rs.org.uk/the-3rs">http://www.nc3rs.org.uk/the-3rs</a>. Applicants will be required to address how they have considered each of the following in their proposal:

**Replacement** – methods which avoid or replace the use of animals **Reduction** –methods which minimise the number of animals used per experiment **Refinement** – methods which minimise suffering and improve animal welfare.

#### 12.2 Justifications

In their application applicants must clearly state and justify the following:

- The relevance to humans and why there is no alternative to the use of animals in the project
- The species and number of any animals that could potentially be used in the project
- Any refinement procedures to minimise any pain or distress
- Measures taken to avoid bias (e.g. blinding, randomisation)
- Number of experimental and control groups and sample size per group
- How the sample size was calculated, showing power calculations and including justification of effect size

MS Society 29 of 36

- Overview of the planned statistical analyses in relation to the primary outcomes to be assessed
- Frequency of measurements/interventions to be used
- If necessary, circumstances in which power calculations are not appropriate to determine sample size

#### 12.3 Considerations

Applicants should also consider that the MS Society supports the AMRC's signing of the Concordat on Openness in Animal Research, with grant holders encouraged to be open about their use of animals in research. More information on the concordat and resources are here:

http://www.understandinganimalresearch.org.uk/policy/concordat-openness-animalresearch/

The MS Society encourages the improvement of reporting of animal research to improve reproducibility, as outlined in the NC3R's ARRIVE guidelines. Please consider these guidelines when applying to the MS Society for animal-based studies. This guidance can be found at: <a href="http://www.nc3rs.org.uk/ARRIVE">http://www.nc3rs.org.uk/ARRIVE</a>

### 13. Use of human tissue

Donated human tissue is a vital and precious resource, therefore justification should be given for why human tissue is to be used in the proposed research. Applicants whose proposed research involves the use of human tissue must confirm that they will comply with the appropriate legislation and follow the relevant Codes of Practice issued by the Human Tissue Authority (HTA). The type of tissue and quantity must be fully justified in the application.

#### 14. References

Essential references relevant to the proposal should be cited. Please enter all references in the following format:

1st Author: Year: Title: Journal: Volume: Pages

Copies of in-press or submitted publications relevant to the proposal should accompany the application, please add these in the "attachments" section.

#### 15. Contact us

Please let us know if you have any questions or need help.

Email us at: research@mssociety.org.uk

Or call us on **020 8438 0822** 

Thank you for your interest in research funding from the MS Society.

MS Society 30 of 36

### Appendix 1: Writing an excellent lay summary

A good lay summary is clear and accessible to people who do not have a scientific background. It is not a 'dumbed down' version of your research, but a clear, <u>plain English</u> explanation, which offers context and explains relevance to people affected by MS.

Your lay summary is important because it will be reviewed by a number of our Research Network members. They are not asked to comment on the quality of science.

However, they will comment and score your project based on:

- how relevant it is to people with MS, and the potential impact;
- the extent of involvement of people with MS in the research planning and design;
- if people with MS will be participants, how well the project has taken into account their needs, and how feasible it is;
- the clarity and quality of the writing.

**Please note:** lay reviewers will only read your lay summary (section B) and your public involvement plan (section C). This therefore needs to include enough information for them to understand the purpose and methods of your project.

Tips on language and layout

Do	Don't	
<ul> <li>Try to explain your research in 25 words, and then use this as your first sentence</li> <li>Consider including a glossary of key terms</li> <li>Use bullet points and headings to break up long blocks of text</li> <li>Use simple diagrams and nonscientific analogies to explain complex concepts</li> <li>Ask a non-scientist to review your summary before you submit</li> <li>Speak directly to your reader.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Use overly complicated or uncommon words</li> <li>Use jargon, abbreviations, acronyms or technical terms without explanation</li> <li>Forget to check spelling and grammar</li> <li>Use overly long sentences (25 words or less is a good guide)</li> <li>Introduce new ideas late in the text</li> </ul>	

Language should be person-centred rather than focusing on the circumstance, symptom or disability. For example, 'people with MS' is preferable to 'MS sufferers'. A person 'has MS' rather than 'is a victim of MS'.

#### Structure

Section B of our application form asks for your lay summary. Please address the following questions:

What is the aim of the project? How will it benefit people affected by MS?

This section should clearly set out the aims of the project, and focus on why they are important and relevant to people affected by MS. Please be as specific as you can

MS Society 31 of 36

about the potential benefits your work could bring. What will we know at the end of your project that we don't know now?

What is the reason for this study?

This section should clearly set out the rationale for the project. What do we know from past research? How is this project original?

How will this project be carried out?

This section should clearly set out the methods that will be used, and explain why you have chosen to use them. If people with MS will be participants, clearly explain how you will recruit them, and what will be asked of them (and any control group).

Where could your research findings lead next?

In this section please briefly explain where your research project could lead once complete. For example, how will it advance our understanding of MS? Will there be implications for clinical practice? Would you be likely to apply for a larger study? This should help people affected by MS to understand the possible impact of your research and how this project fits in the wider picture.

How will you share your results?

This section should explain how you plan to share your findings with the MS community, and your public engagement activities.

Patient and public involvement (PPI)

Section C of our application form is about patient and public involvement (PPI) in your project. You will need to explain how people affected by MS have been involved in the planning of the project so far, and how you intend to involve throughout the project people should you receive funding.

Please see Section 2 above for useful resources on patient and public involvement. If you would like support in involving people affected by MS in the running of your project, please contact researchnetwork@mssociety.org.uk

Please note that by 'involvement' we are not referring to engagement activities which raise awareness of your research with the public. We are also not referring to the recruitment of people as participants in research. Involvement specifically refers to including people affected by MS in the design and overseeing of your research, and using their insight into MS to develop your project.

#### Helpful resources

- INVOLVE 'Make it Clear' campaign <a href="http://www.invo.org.uk/makeitclear/">http://www.invo.org.uk/makeitclear/</a>
- Plain English campaign http://www.plainenglish.co.uk/free-guides.html
- Access to Understanding 'Writing about biomedical and health research in plain English' - <a href="http://www.access2understanding.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/11/Access-to-Understanding-writing-quidance-v1.pdf">http://www.access2understanding.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/11/Access-to-Understanding-writing-quidance-v1.pdf</a>

MS Society 32 of 36

# Appendix 2: Tips for writing a great MS Society Research grant application

MS Society research funding is highly competitive, with a success rate of around 20-25% over the past 5 years. Winning applications demonstrate high scientific quality and must be very relevant to people affected by MS in the UK. You can improve your chances of success by using the tips below, which we've compiled from the most frequently cited concerns of our grant review panels (GRPs). You can use this guide to help you submit the strongest possible application to the MS Society Grant Round.

Give us more justification and details of your chosen methods (and statistical approach)

The most common reason for why applications are rejected are due to a lack of detail or justification in the chosen method(s) or statistical analys(es). You should explain and justify every method, sample size (including power calculations unless justified) and analysis technique you use. These details will help the panel to understand how scientifically sound, feasible and value-for-money your proposal is.

Show us the proposal is well-designed

The second most common reason for rejection is due to design flaw(s). We consult with international experts in the field (peer reviewers) to scrutinise the scientific details of a proposal.

The concerns they flag up can vary depending on the nature of the application but may include issues with the techniques involved, area of focus (e.g cells or participant group), sample size, follow-up period or concerns regarding bias.

We encourage you to make use of the free <u>NIHR Research Design Service</u> which provides design and methodological review services that will improve your application.

Do a spell and sense check before submission

Too many applications have poor spelling, grammar or inconsistent details (for example, mismatch between the Gantt chart and application form) which can make an application difficult to understand. An application submission should be a team effort so ensure that all applicants have thoroughly reviewed the proposal for spelling and logical flow before submission.

Involve people affected by MS in your proposal design

Involving people affected by MS (PaMS) forms an essential part of <u>our research</u> <u>strategy</u> and application review process. Involving PaMS help to ensure the research we fund is of high quality and relevance to their needs.

Usually 25% of an application's score is from our lay reviewers, so it is very important for your lay reviewers to understand your application. Some of the key areas they consider include the strength of public-patient involvement (PPI) of an application and the clarity of the lay summary.

Absent or weak PPI, and unclear lay summaries is common feedback from our reviewers. PaMS should be involved in all parts of your research, from the planning of the research project to the dissemination. We can help you with this – more below.

MS Society 33 of 36

Remember that PPI members are often volunteers, some of whom may have never been involved in research before. Ensure that adequate support is in place and they will not be overburdened (these principles also apply to patient participants in your proposal).

Appendix 1 of our Applicant guidance offers helpful tips on writing lay summaries. We're here to help; the MS Society hosts a <u>Research Network</u>, formed of PaMS, who are able to help review your application as well as your lay summary. This is called **Application development** process. We also hold **PPI training courses** which you may find helpful to attend. Be mindful that there is a deadline for signing up to this course as well as Application development.

Demonstrate the relevance and potential impact of your application

While we also consider applications outside of these areas, our panel members look favourably on applications which align with the priorities set by <u>people affected by MS</u> and <u>our research strategy</u>. Your proposal should aim to address a demonstrable gap in MS research.

Novelty and innovation is important, but reviewers also look for applications that have an appropriate balance between ambition and feasibility. Having a clear and realistic pathway to impact will help your application score highly. Applications which are focused and achievable within the time frame and budget proposed are the most sought-after by reviewers. For PhD Fellowships in particular, ensure that sufficient time has been considered for a PhD student to learn a broad range of skills.

#### Get the right team together

The applicant(s) should have expertise in MS and other expertise relevant to the grant (for example, statistical or digital skills), as well as experience in managing grants. Don't assume that the panel will know your area of expertise, and make it clear what each team member will be doing.

For PhD Fellowship applications, the supervisory experience will be particularly scrutinised. For Early Career Fellowships, additional competencies of the lead applicant such as presentation skills will be considered.

Justify any ethical or legal concerns

In your proposal, it is important to consider the impact of your study on participants or animals (if you are doing an animal study). You should think about any particular risks that your subjects may face, and how you will safeguard against this. This is especially important if you plan to work with particularly vulnerable groups such as children or those at the end of their life. You should also consider the laws around data protection.

#### Other

- *Incorporating comments for resubmissions* Panel members have access to your first submission and any associated feedback. Make sure previous concerns have been resolved or justify why they have not.
- Further justification for costs needed all the costs you claim for in your application will be carefully scrutinised to ensure they are necessary for you to complete the research and that they comply with our Applicant Guidance (in particular, see Section 10 Finance and Costs of the Guidance).

MS Society 34 of 36

# Appendix 3: Scoring Guidance for reviewers

Generic criteria	Project grant specific	
Very important research questions; likely to result in significant benefit for people affected by MS or advancement in the scientific understanding of MS     Very strong team, containing all relevant disciplines     Very good value for money     Clear and well written proposal     Active involvement (PPI) & influence of people affected by MS at all relevant stages of project     Potential impact is highly significant and appropriate to grant type, with a clear and realistic pathway to impact	Excellent, appropriate and highly feasible research design for a project grant (the design, methods and analyses are adequately developed, well integrated, well-reasoned and appropriate to the aims of the project)	
Important research questions, likely to result in benefit for people affected by MS or some advancement in the scientific understanding of MS Team has relevant research experience and contains most of the relevant disciplines Acceptable value for money Elements of the application are unclear Some elements of involving people affected by MS, where appropriate, but not well integrated or well supported Project would only be fundable with some changes Potential impact is significant and mostly appropriate to grant type, with a reasonable pathway to impact	• Acceptable research design for a project grant (the design, methods and analyses are acceptable, they are only partially integrated, and further reasoning for how they fit with the aims of the project is required)	
Irrelevant research questions Key skills missing from the research team Poor value for money Unclear application Involvement of people affected by MS Inappropriate or limited/ lacking Little or no potential impact or poorly described/not appropriate to grant type, with unclear/unrealistic pathway to impact	Poor/ flawed/ duplicative; serious scientific concerns, unsuitable for a project award (the design, methods and analyses are not well developed, not well integrated, and requires further reasoning for how they fit with the aims of the project)	

Project grant specific	Catalyst Award specific	PhD Fellowship specific	Early Career Fellowship specific
• Excellent, appropriate and highly feasible research design for a project grant (the design, methods and analyses are adequately developed, well integrated, well-reasoned and appropriate to the aims of the project)	Excellent, appropriate and highly feasible research design for an innovative award (the project develops or employs novel concepts, approaches, methodologies, tools, or technologies for the area)     Highly innovative, highrisk, high-reward research which, if successful, has great potential for further development	Excellent, appropriate and highly feasible research design for a PhD Fellowship (the design, methods and analyses are well developed and the work is achievable within the timeframe of a PhD)     Excellent training and educational environment	Excellent, appropriate and highly feasible research design for an Early Career Fellowship (the design, methods and analyses are well developed and the work is achievable within the timeframe of an Early Career Fellowship)     Exceptional candidate; demonstrating high commitment to MS research, clear potential to establish an independent research career; experience in more than one research group and/or institution     Excellent training and educational environment for the candidate with high quality career and network development opportunities.
Acceptable research design for a project grant (the design, methods and analyses are acceptable, they are only partially integrated, and further reasoning for how they fit with the aims of the project is required)	Acceptable research design, appropriate for an Catalyst Award (concepts, approaches, methodologies, tools, or technologies are not particularly novel for the area)     Innovative project which, if successful, has potential for further development	Acceptable research design, appropriate for a PhD Fellowship (the design, methods and analyses are acceptable and the work is achievable within the timeframe of a PhD)     Acceptable training and educational environment	Acceptable research design, appropriate for an Early Career Fellowship (the design, methods and analyses are acceptable and the work is achievable within the timeframe of an Early Career Fellowship)     Good candidate; demonstrating a commitment to MS research, potential to establish an independent research career and a group: experience in more than one research group or institution.     Good training and educational environment for the candidate with good quality career and network development opportunities.
Poor/ flawed/ duplicative; serious scientific concerns, unsuitable for a project award (the design, methods and analyses are not well developed, not well integrated, and requires further reasoning for how they fit with the aims of the project)	Research design is unsuitable for an innovative award (concepts, approaches, methodologies, tools, or technologies are not novel for the area)     Not an innovative project, if success is achieved has no potential for further development	Poor/ flawed/ duplicative; serious scientific concerns, unsuitable for PhD Fellowship (the design, methods and analyses are not well developed and the work is not achievable within the timeframe of a PhD)     Poor training environment and educational environment	Poor/ flawed/ duplicative; serious scientific concerns (the design, methods and analyses are not well developed and the work is not achievable within the timeframe of an Early Career Fellowship)     Candidate lacking relevant experience; not committed to MS research, not demonstrating potential to establish an independent research career and a group     Poor training environment and educational environment for the candidate with poor career and network development opportunities

Rating

Exceptional

Excellent

High quality

Good

Above

average

Acceptable

Borderline acceptable

Below

acceptable

Many identified

fundable

flaws
Serious
scientific
weaknesses
or other
major
concerns
Definitely not

Score

Highly fundable

Potentially fundable

10

9

8

7

6

5

3

2

0

Not fundable

MS Society 35 of 36

#### We're the MS Society.

Our community is here for you through the highs, lows and everything in between. We understand what life's like with MS.

Together, we are strong enough to stop MS.

mssociety.org.uk



#### **Contact us**

MS National Centre 020 8438 0700 <a href="mailto:info@mssociety.org.uk">info@mssociety.org.uk</a>

MS Helpline Freephone 0808 800 8000 (weekdays 9am-9pm) helpline@mssociety.org.uk

#### Online

mssociety.org.uk www. facebook.com/MSSociety twitter.com/mssocietyuk

#### MS Society Scotland 0131 335 4050

msscotland@mssociety.org.uk

# MS Society Northern Ireland 028 9080 2802

nireception@mssociety.org.uk

#### **MS Society Cymru**

mscymru@mssociety.org.uk

Multiple Sclerosis Society. Registered charity nos. 1139257 / SC041990. Registered as a limited company by guarantee in England and Wales 07451571.

► Let's stop MS together